

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/900,515	07/06/2001	Michael Freed	NEXSI-01011US0	4141
28863 7	7590 12/07/2006		EXAM	INER
SHUMAKER 8425 SEASON	R & SIEFFERT, P. A.	MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
SUITE 105	S FARRWAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, M	N 55125		2131	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination				
	09/900,515	FREED ET AL.				
	00.000,0.10	Art Unit				
·	Aravind Moorthy	2131				
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC						
Notice of Panel De	cision from Pre-A	ppeal Brief Review				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review filed Nov	v. 7, 2006.				
 Improper Request – The Requestoreason(s): 	uest is improper and a conferer	nce will not be held for the following				
The request does not include	t been filed concurrent with the reasons why a review is appro cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brid	priate.				
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm	continues to run from the recei unication, if no Notice of Appea	pt date of the Notice of Appeal or from all has been received.				
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month fro running from the receipt of the notice	appeal because there is at leas in accordance with 37 CFR 41. om mailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is greate FR 1.136 based upon the mail	Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been at one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period r. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date				
☐ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8, 11-35 and Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	<u>d 37-53</u> .	ollows:				
3. Allowable application – A con Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution applicant at this time.	ference has been held. The rej n on the merits remains closed.	ection is withdrawn and a Notice of No further action is required by				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A confeaction will be mailed. No further action	erence has been held. The reje on is required by applicant at th	ection is withdrawn and a new Office is time.				
All participants:						
(1) Aravind Moorthy.	(3) <u>Eddie C.</u>	Lee N				

(4)____.

(2) Chris Revak.